
HOSTED BY



IN COORDINATION WITH



PRESENTS THE

NORTHEAST COLLEGIATE CYBER DEFENSE COMPETITION

2025 SEASON QUALIFIER

BLUE TEAM PACKET

1.0.1 | 2024-12-07

CONTENTS

NORTHEAST COLLEGIATE CYBER DEFENSE LEAGUE	3
Competition Goals	3
Qualifiers Overview	4
NECCDC 2025 Season Sponsors	5
QUALIFIER BETA SCHEDULE (optional)	6
QUALIFIER EVENT SCHEDULE	6
Competition Organization	7
Competition Rules	8
Competitor Authentication	8
Questions and Answers	9
Scoring Overview	9
System Scoring	9
Inject Scoring	9
Red Team Activity	10
Incident Response Template	10
Tips for Effective IR Reports:	10
NECCDC 2025 SEASON SCENARIO	11
Qualifier's Infrastructure	12
Management Brief	14

NORTHEAST COLLEGIATE CYBER DEFENSE LEAGUE



The Northeast Collegiate Cyber Defense League (NECCDL) is an administrative body whose sole purpose is to facilitate the smooth running of NECCDC regardless of hosting institution. The League is operated by academic volunteers and is funded by league sponsors and annual membership fees collected from participating Academic Institutions.

Find out more at: neccdl.org
LinkedIn: [northeast-collegiate-cyber-defense-league](https://www.linkedin.com/company/northeast-collegiate-cyber-defense-league)
GitHub: github.com/NE-Collegiate-Cyber-Defense-League
Follow on Mastodon: [@neccdl@infosec.exchange](https://mastodon.social/@neccdl)
Follow on X/Twitter: [@neccdl](https://twitter.com/neccdl)

We would like to thank [Roger Williams University](https://www.rwu.edu/) for hosting the qualification infrastructure for this season!

NECCDC 2025 SEASON

The Northeast Collegiate Cyber Defense Competition (NECCDC) is designed to provide a controlled competitive environment that will permit each participating institution to assess their students' depth of understanding and operational competency in managing the challenges inherent in protecting enterprise network infrastructure and business information systems. NECCDC provides an opportunity for qualified educational institutions in the Northeast to compete in this environment and is part of a national organization (nationalccdc.org), which provides a unified approach for nine regions across the country. Qualified educational institutions include those with information assurance or computer security curricula.

Competition Goals

1. To promote fair and equitable standards for cyber defense and technology-based competitions that can be recognized by industry
2. To evaluate the defensive and responsive skills of each team under hardware, software application, and operating system configurations using a joint academic and industry rating scale
3. To demonstrate the effectiveness of each participating institution's academic security (and related) programs
4. To be executed by a preponderance of industry professionals
5. To have industry recognition, participation, and acceptance of each competition
6. To rate the effectiveness of each competition against a predefined standard of competition rules
7. To provide a cooperative and competitive atmosphere among industry partners and academia in the area of cyber defense education

8. To provide recognition for participating teams
9. To increase public awareness of academic and industry efforts in the area of cyber defense education
10. To facilitate the pipeline for the next-generation cybersecurity workforce
11. Develop competitor skills to respond to modern cybersecurity threats

Qualifiers Overview

The NECCDC 2025 Qualifier is managed by this year's competition host (Roger Williams University), with strong contributions from the wider team at NECCDL. The competition is designed to test each competing team's ability to secure a networked computer system while maintaining standard business functionality.

In the healthcare sector, third-party risk management is critical to safeguarding sensitive patient data and ensuring regulatory compliance. As healthcare organizations increasingly rely on external vendors for services like cloud storage, billing, and IT support, they face heightened risks from cyber attacks, data breaches, and operational disruptions. Effective third-party risk management involves assessing vendors' security practices, implementing robust contract management, and conducting continuous monitoring to mitigate potential vulnerabilities. By proactively managing these risks, healthcare providers can protect patient privacy, maintain trust, and adhere to strict regulatory frameworks like HIPAA and HITECH.

The competition involves more than the application of technical skills. It is also one built upon the foundation of business operations, policy, and procedures. A technical success that adversely impacts business operations will result in a lower score, as will a business success that results in security weaknesses.

Qualifying teams from the **NECCDC 2025 Qualifier on February 1, 2025** will have the opportunity to participate in the **NECCDC 2025 Regional**, expected to take place **March 14 - 16, 2025 at Roger Williams University** (1 Old Ferry Road, Bristol Rhode Island) - the host organization for 2025.

NECCDC 2025 Season Sponsors

NECCDC would not be possible without the generous support of our sponsors!

Additional information regarding sponsorships for the NECCDC 2025 Season can be found at neccd.org/sponsor and neccd.org/history/2025.

GOLD


SILVER



BRONZE


OTHERS? HELP CONNECT US WITH POTENTIAL SPONSORS!

Others TBD, in contracting.
Let us know if you have someone you know who is interested in sponsoring!
Have them contact sponsor@neccd.org for more information.

QUALIFIER BETA SCHEDULE (*optional*)

- Qualifier Beta Test: (optional, but highly recommended) this is a small test run with no points in play, with access to a portion of the game environment allowing reconnaissance / planning.

Saturday, 25 January, 2025

TIME (EST, 24-Hour format)	ACTIVITY
09:30	Stock up on snacks and drinks in close vicinity
10:00	Opens mock game infra access
11:00-ish	Expect Test Inject within Google Classroom
12:00	Close mock game infra access

QUALIFIER EVENT SCHEDULE

- Please be in Discord and On-Site at your educational institution-provided space ~20 minutes prior to Check-in
- Have webcam/video capacity + Student ID for authentication
- Work with your Team's Moderator(s) (and be prepared to feed them!) - if your coach hasn't already, make sure they submit moderator contact information for training and coordination. The original deadline is Jan 17, 2025.

Saturday, 01 February, 2025

TIME (EST, 24-Hour format)	ACTIVITY	NOTES
09:00	Blue Team Check-in Begins in Discord / Should be on on-site location at your educational institution	Have student ID accessible
09:30	Welcome Inject	Injects in Google Classroom
10:00	Competition Begins	Scoring starts and Blue Team access to environment systems enabled. Credentials are shared in Discord.
14:30	Competition Ends	Blue Team access to environment systems will be disabled.

Competition Organization

Blue Team

Student team representing a specific academic institution or major campus competing in the NECCDC. Each team must submit a roster of up to 12 competitors to National CCDC via: <https://www.nationalccdc.org/index.php/competition/competitors/registration> (due by Jan 19, 2025). Each competition team may consist of up to eight (8) members chosen from the submitted roster. The remainder of the roster is for substitution in the event a member of the active competition team cannot compete. Substitution in the competition team requires approval from the Competition Director.

- Students should maintain a full-time status (as defined by the participating institution) at the time the competition is conducted. Coaches are responsible for ensuring that participating students have the status needed to participate, and recommend two (2) or more moderators. See [National CCDC rules](#) for full eligibility criteria.
- If there are **technical issues** use the **@BlackTeam** handle in your team specific Discord channel for infrastructure-related questions. If competitors are unsure about **other questions**, then they should ask their room moderators.

White Team

Representatives who serve as competition officials, moderators and rule enforcement in the various competition rooms. You can use the **@WhiteTeam** handle in your team channel for any inject-specific questions that are not sent through moderators.

- Each team competing remotely from their home institutions must have at least one (1), ideally two (2) or more, site moderator(s) present at the blue team location as well as within the virtual environment during active times of the competition provided by the Team Representative.
- Moderators are responsible to perform tasks such as:
 - Undertake/Review moderator training offered by NECCDC
 - Gain familiarity on using the required communication tools (e.g. Discord)
 - Submit questions/requests from the blue team members to the designated communication channels
 - Check rosters to authenticate competitors at check-in
 - Ensure that competition rules are followed and any violations or situations of concern are reported to the White Team senior staff
 - Submit survey feedback based on competition/team observations near the end of Qualifier (e.g., webform provided in Discord)
- White Team senior staff will:
 - Supply and score Blue Team tasks in the form of competition injects
 - Adjudicate the scoring for the competition
 - Have a chief judge responsible for final decisions with regard to scoring

Black Team

Competition technicians, the Black Team develops, deploys, and maintains the competition environments. It also configures remote access, Discord, the service scoring engine-related, and helps write technical injects alongside the White Team.

Red Team

Professional network penetration testers from the industry, approved by the Competition Director, and industry representatives who:

- Scan and map the network of each competition team - Attempt to penetrate the defensive capabilities of each Blue Team network
- Modify any acquired environment
- Assess the security of Blue Team networks
- Attempt to capture and/or modify specific files on targeted devices of Blue Team networks
- Attempt to leave specific files on targeted devices of each Blue Team network
- Document and provide feedback for competitors/organizers to evaluate performance
- Follow Rules of Engagement for the competition

Gold Team

The competition staff includes the Director, logistics, and sponsor relations coordinators.

Orange Team

The competition staff builds an integrated scenario storyline and simulates user activities in a manner that integrates with and contextualizes Black and White team activities. The team also may include individuals who act as employees, clients, and other external acting parties, e.g., C-suite personnel, law enforcement agents, etc. These actors may interact with infrastructure systems and the Blue Team members during the competition experience.

Competition Rules

NECCDC subscribes to the [National CCDC Rules](#), which have been continuously updated in recent years. In particular, Article 7 on Professional Conduct which applies to competitors and non-competitors alike. Not only is this expected in today's workforce, we truly strive to create a fun and safe professional + technical learning environment every year.

The Director will update a list of published [Blue Team GitHub links](#) for Qualifier. Besides these approved repositories, teams are **not** allowed to use **any private** staging materials. Please publicly [archive](#) your repository during the freeze period.

Competitor Authentication

Competitors will be expected to show a valid/current student ID, issued by their educational institution to authenticate during the qualifier check-in. Authentication will be done by room moderators on-site at your educational institution.

Blue team members should ask for rule clarifications through their room moderators at any time. Scenario-based activities can take a wide variety of paths, so if there is any doubt or need for clarification on injects or other competition-related events, make sure to check with room moderators who can relay questions to appropriate competition staff.

Questions and Answers

We maintain a set of Questions and Answers from our information sessions, publicly available here: [FAQ](#). As teams onboard, updated communications will be in Discord.

Scoring Overview

Blue Teams gain points throughout the competition in two (2) categories using the following point distribution:

50%	System Scoring
50%	Inject Scoring

Both service uptime and completion of injects are equally important. As with any business, systems often have different risks and criticality. Additionally, any disabling/disconnection of network services is considered unauthorized and thus, depending on severity and service criticality, might incur appropriate SLA violations. The more points Blue Teams can gain, the better.

Additionally, successful Red Team Activity will subtract up to 50% of points from a team's possible total points:

- 50%	Red Team Activity
--------------	-------------------

The more points Blue Teams can prevent the Red Team from taking away, the better.

Accurate and high-quality Incident Reports will reduce the number of points reduced as a result of Red Team activity.

System Scoring

System availability and integrity make up half of the Blue Team's final score. This scoring consists of service checks that happen on a predetermined interval. Each successful check will increase point totals (depending on service criticality). Unsuccessful checks will not add or decrease point totals.

Inject Scoring

Injects are business tasks provided to each team throughout the competition and make up half of the Blue Team's final score. Injects are typically provided to teams in the form of communication from a supervisor/stakeholder, a project work order, or a break/fix ticket. Injects

may not always explicitly outline specific deliverables expected. It is the responsibility of the Blue Team to interpret the request and respond professionally. Questions can be asked for clarification via moderators or directly to White Team or Black Team. Some injects may be scored objectively, while other injects may be scored on a ranked scoring model. Injects may not all have the same point value, and are weighted based on items such as complexity and time required to complete. The specific point value for each inject is not disclosed to the Blue Team. Injects have their own deadlines, and injects submitted past deadlines do not earn points. Keep in mind that the Google Classroom clock may be different from your system time and can experience lag when submitting.

Red Team Activity

Successful Red Team activity is subtracted from Blue Team total points. Red Team Activity has a ceiling and may not take away more than half of the total possible points from the combined service and inject scores. Accurate, evidence-based, and professional Incident Reports submitted by the Blue Team may provide the opportunity to reclaim Red Team points for specific Red Team activity. However, very low-quality Incident Reports may result in additional points awarded towards Red Team Activity and Blue Teams should only submit Incident Reports they have confidence in (detailed in National's Rule 9.d). A standardized Incident Report document is provided below and teams are encouraged to utilize the document to submit Incident Reports.

Incident Response Template

Please feel free to use this Incident Response [Template](#), or use a similar professional IR report which captures data referenced in [National Rules 9.d](#).

Tips for Effective IR Reports:

- Submit IR reports when incidents occur in order to potentially reduce future Red Team impacts.
- Ensure any executive summaries and business impact analyses are appropriately written for the intended audience (try not to include too much technical jargon).
- When writing, ensure that it is professional and includes enough necessary information and depth while not including any extraneous information.
- When discussing business impact, ensure that you accurately identify the effects on the business.
- Once an incident has occurred, you want to perform remediation. Any actions taken towards remediation/prevention should be detailed.
- Make sure that you include relevant screenshots, visuals & evidence.
- Think about whether what you are experiencing is really due to Red Team activity or due to a misconfiguration/actions taken by the team.

NECCDC 2025 SEASON SCENARIO



PlaceboPharma is a publicly traded pharmaceutical company at the forefront of placebo research and innovation. Specializing in the production of high-quality placebo pills the company, and their business vendors, are dedicated to advancing the understanding of placebo effects through rigorous testing and data-driven analysis. Their state-of-the-art manufacturing facilities feature advanced machinery capable of producing quality controlling placebo pills at scale. Each machine is equipped with precision monitoring systems that record critical production metrics and experimental data. This information is continuously streamed into a hopefully secure and scalable database, enabling PlaceboPharma to monitor production, analyze trends and optimize manufacturing processes.

“At PlaceboPharma, our meds are so good, you'd swear they're real!”®

- Cybersecurity in Businesses
 - Risk Management
 - Business Value
- Data Processing
 - Handling of Sensitive Data
 - High Availability
- Infrastructure Monitoring
- Containerization // Orchestration
- Network Segmentation

Qualifier's Infrastructure

Contractors should be prepared to assess the various aspects of the organization's infrastructure. The primary objective is to maintain confidentiality of data while maintaining availability of PlaceboPharma production systems and employee access.


Technologies that may be found in the company's infrastructure include:

Windows Server Microsoft Active Directory Certificate Authorities Kerberos Kubernetes Open Source EMR PowerPoint	Containerd Docker Ubuntu Rocky Palo Alto SQL Falco	HAProxy Nginx Traefik TSDB LongHorn Graylog Prometheus
--	--	--

AWS will not be in scope this year for the blue team

Additional infrastructure details will be provided by competition staff as we get closer to the date of the Qualifier through public channels.

10.0.Y.0/22 10.255.X.0/24



Before the qualifiers competition, we will release a formal document outlining the cost of Black Team help events in system points. Our goal is to strike a balance between encouraging teams to seek help when genuinely needed and fostering independent problem-solving.

These events typically include tasks such as:

- Redeploying servers
- Resolving account lockouts
- Questions about setting up WireGuard
- Troubleshooting

Cybersecurity focuses on safeguarding the functions that drive business's value creation. Keep this in mind during the qualifiers competition.

Remote Access will be provided by Black Team to allow teams to connect to the Qualifier environment from their personal/campus computers and should be on an on-site location provided by their own educational institution.

Ensure you have [Wireguard](#) installed on machines used for competition **prior to the start** of the qualifiers competition.

It is critical that teams use the beta period to validate they can connect to WireGuard instead of using precious time during the competition.

Management Brief

Title: PlaceboPharma Investment Prospectus

Location: Bristol

Datetime: 2024-12-20 13:54:26

Origin and Growth:

PlaceboPharma's journey to becoming a leader in pharmaceutical innovation began over two decades ago when its founder, Dr. Evelyn Turner, a renowned neuroscientist, realized the untapped potential of placebo effects in clinical trials. Initially starting as a small research lab, PlaceboPharma's mission was to bridge the gap between science and human perception, focusing on developing high-quality placebo pills that could provide reliable and reproducible results in experimental settings. In its early years, the company faced skepticism and financial uncertainty, but Dr. Turner's groundbreaking studies on placebo-driven therapeutic outcomes began to attract the attention of top medical researchers and investors. By partnering with major academic institutions and securing patents for proprietary manufacturing techniques, PlaceboPharma rapidly expanded, securing contracts with global pharmaceutical companies. Through relentless innovation, cutting-edge technology, and an unwavering commitment to data-driven research, PlaceboPharma revolutionized the placebo sector, eventually becoming a publicly traded powerhouse in the global pharmaceutical industry. Today, the company is not only a leader in placebo research but also a trusted partner for clinical trials, reshaping how the medical world understands the power of perception in healing.

Rivalry and Acquisition:

PlaceboPharma operates in a competitive landscape with several companies vying for dominance in the placebo and alternative therapies market. One of its main competitors, SimulacraMed, specializes in creating synthetic placebo treatments designed to mimic real pharmaceuticals, though their methods have been criticized for lack of transparency in their clinical trial data. Another rival, IllusioPharma, focuses on developing placebo-based digital therapeutics, offering virtual placebo experiences through apps and wearables; however, their effectiveness remains under intense scrutiny due to inconsistent user feedback and a lack of peer-reviewed studies. Lastly, Biotechnica has gained attention for its aggressive marketing tactics, claiming to enhance placebo effects through advanced neurostimulation devices, but their products have faced regulatory hurdles and concerns over safety. Despite these competitors, PlaceboPharma's commitment to rigorous scientific research, transparent data practices, and cutting-edge manufacturing technologies has helped it maintain a leading position in the industry, setting it apart from the more speculative, unproven and dangerous approaches of its rivals.

Integration and Challenges:

While PlaceboPharma works closely with a select group of trusted third-party vendors to support its manufacturing and data analytics operations, the company recognizes several potential risks associated with these partnerships. These risks include the possibility of supply chain disruptions, data security vulnerabilities, and quality control inconsistencies. Third-party vendors responsible for key components, such as raw materials and precision monitoring systems, may face challenges that could affect the timely delivery of materials or the reliability of data streams. Furthermore, as the company relies heavily on third-party vendors for maintaining secure and scalable database infrastructure, there is an inherent risk of cybersecurity breaches, which could compromise sensitive production data, documentation and proprietary research. PlaceboPharma is committed to mitigating these risks through comprehensive vendor assessments, stringent contractual safeguards, and proactive monitoring, yet acknowledges the potential impact these factors could have on production timelines and overall business continuity

Cybersecurity Overhaul:

As PlaceboPharma continues to expand its operations globally, the company faces increasing challenges in managing the risks associated with its third-party vendors. While the company has historically relied on a network of trusted partners for critical supply chain components and data management, recent incidents have highlighted the need for a comprehensive overhaul of its third-party risk management practices. Issues such as delayed deliveries of raw materials, security breaches in vendor-managed databases, and inconsistent quality control from suppliers have raised concerns about the reliability of its external relationships. To address these vulnerabilities, PlaceboPharma recognizes the need for a more robust and proactive approach, which includes establishing stricter vetting procedures, enhancing monitoring systems, and implementing more stringent contract terms to ensure vendor compliance. The company also plans to invest in advanced cybersecurity measures to safeguard sensitive data and reduce the risk of potential breaches. By strengthening its third-party risk management framework, PlaceboPharma aims to secure its supply chain, protect its proprietary research, and maintain the high standards of excellence that have made it a leader in the pharmaceutical industry.